REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE LETCHER COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 13, 2009 Through August 15, 2010



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE LETCHER COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 13, 2009 Through August 15, 2010

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Unmined Coal Taxes for Letcher County Sheriff for the period August 13, 2009 through August 15, 2010. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$1,245,434 for the districts for 2009 Unmined Coal taxes, retaining commissions of \$49,496 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$1,198,307 to the districts for 2009 Unmined Coal taxes. Taxes of \$159 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$3,709 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	. 1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 UNMINED COAL TAXES	.3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	.4
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	. 13



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Jim Ward, Letcher County Judge/Executive
Honorable Danny Webb, Letcher County Sheriff
Members of the Letcher County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Letcher County Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period August 13, 2009 through August 15, 2010. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Letcher County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement in accordance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Letcher County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period August 13, 2009 through August 15, 2010, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated February 28, 2011 on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.







To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Steven L. Beshear, Governor
Jonathan Miller, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Jim Ward, Letcher County Judge/Executive
Honorable Danny Webb, Letcher County Sheriff
Members of the Letcher County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

February 28, 2011

LETCHER COUNTY DANNY WEBB, SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2009 UNMINED COAL TAXES

For The Period August 13, 2009 Through August 15, 2010

				Special					
Charges	Cot	inty Taxes	Taxi	ing Districts	Sch	nool Taxes	Stat	te Taxes	
Unmined Coal - 2009 Taxes Penalties	\$	148,835 100	\$	292,921 197	\$	652,326 420	\$	193,169 129	
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		148,935		293,118		652,746		193,298	
<u>Credits</u>									
Exonerations Discounts Delinquents:		6 2,907		12 5,715		25 12,767		8 3,772	
Unmined Coal - 2009 Taxes		2,058		4,051		8,671		2,671	
Total Credits		4,971		9,778		21,463		6,451	
Taxes Collected Less: Commissions (a)		143,964 6,118	283,340 12,042			631,283 23,395		186,847 7,941	
Taxes Due Taxes Paid Patrick (Connect and Prior Year)		137,846 137,656 153		271,298 270,966 258		607,888 610,989 608		178,906 178,696 162	
Refunds (Current and Prior Year) Due Districts or (Refund Due Sheriff) as of Completion of Audit		37	\$	74	\$	(3,709)	\$	48	
(a) Commissions: 4.25% on \$ 614,151 4% on \$ 445,674 3% on \$ 185,609				(b)		(c)			
(b) Special Taxing Districts:Library DistrictHealth DistrictExtension DistrictSoil Conservation District			\$	23 32 15 4	_				
Due Districts			\$	74	=				
(c) Letcher County School Distr (Refund Due Sheriff)	ict		\$	(3,709)	=				

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

LETCHER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

August 15, 2010

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Letcher County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1) (d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

LETCHER COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT August 15, 2010 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The Letcher County Sheriff does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of August 15, 2010, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The unmined coal tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2009. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was February 18, 2010 through August 15, 2010.

Note 4. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Letcher County Sheriff collected \$846 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.119(7). This amount was used to operate the Sheriff's office.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



CRIT LUALLEN AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Jim Ward, Letcher County Judge/Executive Honorable Danny Webb, Letcher County Sheriff Members of the Letcher County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Letcher County Sheriff's Settlement - 2009 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period August 13, 2009 through August 15, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated February 28, 2011. The Sheriff prepares his financial statement in accordance with a basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Letcher County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2009-01 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Letcher County Sheriff's Settlement -2009 Unmined Coal Taxes for the period August 13, 2009 through August 15, 2010, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Letcher County Fiscal Court, and the Department for Local Government and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

Crit Luallen

Auditor of Public Accounts

February 28, 2011



LETCHER COUNTY DANNY WEBB, SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period August 13, 2009 Through August 15, 2010

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2009-01 The Sheriff's Office Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

During our review of internal control, we found that the Sheriff's office has a lack of adequate segregation of duties. A significant deficiency occurs when someone has custody over assets and the responsibility of recording financial transactions. The bookkeeper collects, deposits, and records all receipts and prepares and records all checks. In addition, the bookkeeper prepares all bank reconciliations. Good internal controls dictate that the same employee should not be handling, recording, and reconciling cash receipts. Furthermore, authorized check signers should be independent of receiving cash and preparing checks. A material weakness occurs when a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statement will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. The sheriff's office failed to prepare reconciliations of taxes collected to taxes paid. We noted errors in tax collections which could have been prevented or detected if the sheriff's office had prepared reconciliations of taxes collected to taxes paid.

If the Sheriff cannot segregate these duties, compensating controls such as the Sheriff recounting daily deposits, agreeing deposits to daily tax reports, and agreeing deposits to the receipts ledger should be implemented. The Sheriff should also review reconciliations of taxes collected to taxes paid. The Sheriff should document these reviews by initialing and dating the bank deposit, daily checkout sheet, receipts ledger, and reconciliations. The Sheriff could also periodically compare the bank reconciliations to the balance in the checkbook and document this by initialing and dating the bank reconciliation and the balance in the checkbook. We recommend the Sheriff review office procedures to address this deficiency.

Sheriff's Response: None.